SOFTWARE PRESERVATION SERVICES IN CULTURAL HERITAGE ORGANIZATIONS:

Mapping the Landscape

Wendy Hagenmaier

Georgia Institute of Technology, USA
wendy.hagenmaier@library.gatech.edu
ORCID: 0000-0003-4045-0068

Christa Williford

Council on Library and Information Resources, USA
cwilliford@clir.org
0000-0001-6273-3793

Monique Lassere

University of Arizona, USA
mlassere@email.arizona.edu

Lauren Work

University of Virginia, USA
lw2cd@virginia.edu
ORCID: 0000-0002-0941-6921

Jessica G. Benner

Carnegie Mellon University, USA
jbenner@andrew.cmu.edu
0000-0002-2427-1222

Seth Erickson

Pennsylvania State University, USA
sre53@psu.edu
0000-0002-5570-7201

Preserving software is a prerequisite for preserving and providing access to digital cultural heritage and research. The recent formation of the Software Preservation Network (SPN) has provided momentum for a better understanding of the landscape of software preservation activities. This poster discusses preliminary results from a study undertaken by SPN's Research Working Group. Our Service Provider Study focuses on software preservation activities happening in libraries, archives and museums. This study will inform a foundational agenda that SPN members and other cultural heritage professionals can use to conduct further research on sustainable software preservation services.

Keywords – software preservation, software, libraries, museums, archives

Conference Topics – Exploring New Horizons; The Cutting Edge: Technical Infrastructure and Implementation

I. INTRODUCTION

Preserving software is a prerequisite for preserving and providing access to digital cultural heritage and research, and software is increasingly considered a research product or artifact in itself. For decades, researchers and practitioners in information science, digital preservation, and allied fields have discussed the necessity of software preservation. The recent formation of multiple groups focused on software preservation--including the Software Sustainability Institute, which focuses on research software [1], Software Heritage, which aims to preserve software as cultural artifacts [2], and the Software Preservation Network (SPN) [3], which is an alliance of cultural heritage professionals and others interested in software preservation--has provided momentum for better understanding the landscape of software preservation activities.

SPN's mission is to preserve software through community engagement, infrastructure support, and knowledge generation. Our mission as the Research working group is to facilitate research projects that bring individuals and organizations with diverse perspectives and interests together to document and analyze the landscape of software preservation and access.

This year we, the members of the SPN Research Working Group, launched a study of software preservation service providers in libraries, archives, museums, and other organizations who work to preserve software, in order to identify (1) the services that are currently being provided, (2) gaps in services, and (3) opportunities for future service provision.

iPRES 2019

II. SERVICE PROVIDER STUDY

In 2015, Meyerson and Vowell conducted a survey [4] to better understand cultural heritage practices surrounding long-term preservation and access to digital primary resources stored in proprietary file formats. While this study produced information on who is collecting software, it didn't solicit detailed data about what they are collecting and how they are collecting it. Furthermore, the landscape of software preservation activities has evolved since this initial research.

The Research Working Group's Service Provider Study seeks to dig into details about how software preservation work is currently being done. Ideally, it will serve as the first in a longitudinal series of studies that will track the landscape over time.

The Service Provider Study includes a survey and set of follow-up interviews aimed at documenting how cultural heritage organizations are approaching software preservation services. Our specific research questions are: What software preservation services are cultural heritage professionals currently providing? What are the gaps in services? What are the opportunities for future service provision?

The survey questions were designed to gather data to address each of these research questions. Our target population are individuals working in cultural heritage organizations such as libraries, archives, and museums. We received Institutional Review Board approval for the study and adhered to GDPR protocols for all European responses. The survey includes multiple choice and free-response questions with the goal of obtaining a high-level understanding of the types of software preservation services participants are providing, as well as services participants plan or hope to provide in the future. The interview protocol includes a set of questions that address the same topics covered in the survey but enable the participant to answer in more detail.

III. PRELIMINARY INSIGHTS

The results we report here are very preliminary because the study is still a work-in-progress. We will be in a position to report on additional insights in fall 2019.

We launched the survey in January of 2019, and as of March 2019, we are in the early stages of analysis and scheduling interviews. Our survey received 156 responses from a variety of institutions, including academic research universities, government entities, public libraries, entertainment companies, museums, and commercial entities. Responses reflect perspectives from the following job roles: curator, archivist, librarian, digital preservationist, technologist, software preservationist, administrator, professor, program manager, conservator, digital asset management specialist, archaeologist, scientist, data specialist, data preservationist, photographer, and collections manager.

When asked what specific services they provide at their local institutions, few respondents chose the word "frequently" to characterize how often they provide consultation about software preservation (7% of respondents), preserve software (9%), and create metadata for preserved software (6%). Additionally, just 6% of respondents reported "frequently" providing access to software. These results suggest that while a range of institutions and professionals are considering software preservation, only a very small minority are frequently providing services, or defining their work as fitting under the heading of "software preservation."

This study will inform a foundational agenda that SPN members and other cultural heritage professionals can use to conduct further research about and development of sustainable software preservation services.

REFERENCES

[1] Software Sustainability Institute, 2019, Homepage, https://www.software.ac.uk

[2] Software Heritage, 2019, Homepage, https://www.softwareheritage.org

[3] Software Preservation Network, 2019, Homepage, http://www.softwarepreservationnetwork.org/

[4] Meyerson, J. and Vowell, Z. (2015). Software preservation in cultural heritage survey. https://docs.google.com/document/d/1l70llFKpdhvltKx8SwgNwGP8pm8cdwb1kvCMc1CKYUg/edit

iPRES 2019 – 16th International Conference on Digital Preservation
September 16– 20, 2019, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

2